

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,883	08/17/2001	Hiroko Shikinami	110071	4388
25944 75	90 03/25/2004		EXAM	INER
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			ROYAL, PAUL	
P.O. BOX 1992 ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·,		3611	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/869,883	SHIKINAMI ET AL.			
٠. ال	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Paul Royal	3611			
<del> </del>	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period fo	or Reply					
THE   - External after   - If the   - If NC   - Failu   Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period irre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	ugust 2001.				
, —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	··					
	closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
•	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in a	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been	າ received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> .					

Art Unit: 3611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 17 Aug 2001 has been considered by the examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear whether applicant is claiming the stool as part of the instant invention. As best understood, applicant's reciting the stool to indicate intended useage.

Also, the claim is unclear as to the require stool height, for example, is the seat of the stool being used alone or as part of a complete stool assembly mounted to a surface?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Duke (US 2,374,182).

Art Unit: 3611

Duke teaches a walker device comprising a frame (1, 4, 6, 8) to support the user, wheels (3) mounted on the frame and a seat plate (19), which can project from around the lateral side and rear side of the user to an area where the legs of the walking user move, and wherein the seat plate is removable from the users leg area during walking; wherein the seat plate (19) can be pivoted about a horizontal axis.

Note the limitation requiring the lowest portion of the frame to be higher than "that of a seat stool" has not been given any weight because a seat stool could be virtually any height and which makes the limitation unclear as to the require stool height.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duke (US 2,374,182).

Duke teaches the claimed vehicle except wherein the seat plate comprises a pair of seat plate portions.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the seat plate into a pair of seat plate portions because it only

Art Unit: 3611

requires routine skill in the art to make a unitary element into separate components, for example, to aid folding the vehicle.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schmerl teaches a walker. Rigal teaches a folding walker assembly. Di Chiara teaches a walker with tray. Ledesky teaches an assist apparatus. Coe teaches a walker. Purdy et al. teaches a tray assembly. Morris teaches a patient conveyance device. Fernie et al. teaches a walking aid. Austin teaches a walker. Vaughan teaches a wheel chair and walker. Carabajal et al. teaches a walker. Guiterrez teaches a walking wheelchair.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Royal whose telephone number is 703-308-8570. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley D. Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

P. Royal

March 21, 2004

Paul Royal Examiner Art Unit 3611

LESLEY D. MORRIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600